Advantages of Locking Plate Fixation Following Difficult Arthrodesis

Purpose
The purpose of this study is to highlight the advantages of locking plate fixation following difficult foot and ankle arthrodesis utilizing a multiplanar ring external fixator as the primary or revisional fixation device.

Methods
A retrospective chart review was performed on fifteen patients who had received rearfoot/ankle arthrodesis with fixation consisting of a multiplanar ring external fixator followed by the application of a locking plate. The patient population consisted of thirteen males and two females ranging in age from 64 to 9 years old. The authors evaluated the postoperative AOFAS scores.

Procedures
After removal of the multiplanar ring external fixator, the locking plate was placed percutaneously. For tibiotalocalcaneal (TTC) arthrodesis a small incision was made at the lateral aspect of the calcaneus. Blunt dissection was then carried proximally along the lateral aspect of the distal tibia and the plate was placed at the distal tibia and calcaneus. Care was taken to not violate the periosteum during dissection. Utilizing fluoroscopy, the plate was fixated with locking screws. A similar technique was performed for patients receiving isolated midfoot and rearfoot fusions. The patients were allowed to immediately weight-bear with the use of a CAM walker.

Results
Performing percutaneous locking plate fixation enabled the authors to remove the multiplanar ring external fixation an average of 2 weeks earlier than similar cases treated only with external fixation. The average AOFAS score postoperatively was 87. At the time of this poster presentation, no patients treated with this technique progressed to malunion or non-union. Two patients required plate removal after consolidation at the arthrodesis site and one patient developed sial neuritis, which was successfully treated with physical therapy and lidoderm patches.

Literature Review
Locking plates have been shown to provide superior rigidity in complicated rearfoot fusions (T-T-C) when compared to other fixation techniques.1,2 The complications of external fixation include the risk of pin track infection, higher non-union rate, and less accepted by patients. Certain patient conditions include the use of external fixation including soft-tissue injury, poor bone quality, compromised circulation, obesity, or infection.5 The indications for locking plates have been documented as having four main principles including:

a. Compression principle for osteoporotic diaphyseal fractures;

b. Neutralization principle;

c. Bridging or locked internal fixator principle;

d. Combination principle for comminuted metaphyseal intra-articular fractures.6

The combination of locking plate technique after initial external fixation provides the patient benefits of both techniques.

Discussion
The use of locking plates has increased over the last several years. Locking plates have demonstrated excellent fixed-angle fixation and clear-cut results for fractures and non-unions.3 The benefits to using locking plates in osteopenic bone to achieve rigid fixation and lower the chance of non-union has been greatly documented.1 Utilizing the technique of percutaneous locking plate fixation following arthrodeses with a multiplanar ring external fixator decreases the risk of non-union and malunion while increasing patient comfort by removing the external fixator. By incorporating this technique in high-risk fusions the surgeon may increase his/her success rate of such treatments while minimizing peri-operative complications.
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